UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

G

I

JURISDICTION

The following Order is issued on this date to Frank
Stankovich Sr. ("Respondent") pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by \$106(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. \$9601 et seq., delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by Executive Order Number 12316 (August 20, 1981, 46 FR 42237), and redelegated to the Regional Administrator, EPA, Region 9. Notice of the issuance of this Order has been given to the State of California.

FINDINGS OF PACT

- Respondent is lessee and operator of property located at 14014 S. Gracebee, Norwalk, California (".acility"). The facility is approximately 1,600 square feet in area and is a portion of a larger facility operated by Greencastle Inc. A chain-link fence extends around the perimeter of the facility. The ground surface is entirely paved. Runoff from the site is directed toward a drainage ditch which leads to a storm water detention basin and the north fork of Coyote Creek. Within 3 blocks of the facility are a public high school, residences and businesses.
- 2. The facility is a facility as defined by CERCLA \$101(9), 42 U.S.C. 9601(9).
- 3. Prior to the inspection of this facility, Respondent Stankovich operated the General Disposal Company facility in Santa Fe Springs, California. On July 10, 1981, a chemical fire and explosion destroyed approximately 12,000 of the 18,000 drums stored at the one-acre site. The drums contained paint components, industrial solvents, and pesticides, including some 25 hazardous substances.
- 4. Respondent has engaged in the storage of hazardous wastes as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Respondent has not notified EPA pursuant to \$3010(a) of RCRA and failed to submit Part A of the RCRA permit application by November 19, 1980 as required by \$3005 of RCRA. As such, the facility has not achieved interim status as

defined by \$3005(e) of RCRA and therefore is operating in violation of RCRA.

- 5. On May 24, 1984, Chris Vais and Bill Lewis of the EPA
 Emergency Response Section inspected the facility. They
 made the following observations:
 - A. 450-500 fifty-five gallon drums are stacked on three levels. An estimated 60% of the drums are empty or contain solids. An estimated 40% contain liquids. The drums are in a deteriorating condition, presenting the risk of release of the hazardous substances listed below.
 - B. There are approximately 200 five gallon pails filled with paint. These pails are in a deteriorating condition.
 - C. 17 samples were taken from drums containing liquids.

 The liquids sampled were generally classified as paints,

 paint wastes and waste oils. The flammability of each

 sample was determined on site. Five of the samples

 were determined to be highly flammable. Analysis of the

 headspace gas indicated the presence of the following

 volatile organic compounds at the concentrations noted:

1,1,1-trichloroethane 25,600 ppm
p-xylene 1,400 ppm
acetone 525 ppm
methyl ethyl ketone 8,100 ppm
tetrahydrofuran 100 ppm

These organic compounds are "hazardous substances" as defined in \$101(14) of CERCLA.

- D. At various areas within the facility the ground was visibly stained by unknown solvents.
- E. An elevated level of radioactivity, 25 microrems, was measured at the facility; backround lev is were measured at 7-10 microrems.
- P. A strong odor, characteristic of paint solvents, was detected by the inspectors, indicating the possible release of vapors from the drums.
- 6. A threat to human health and the environment exists in the event of a fire and explosion at the facility, in that:
 - A. The emission of toxic by-products of combustion is highly likely as a result of fire or explosion.
 - B. Debris propelled from the facility due to fire or explosion would increase the likelihood of direct contact with the public.
 - C. Large volumes of contaminated runoff can be expected to escape from the facility during fire-fighting activities.

III

DETERMINATION

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Regional Administrator has determined that hazardous substances are stored at the facility and that the release or threat of release of such substances from the facility may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment. The initiation of immediate removal action will prevent or mitigate immediate and significant risk of harm to human life or health or the environment.

The Regional Administrator has further determined that Respondent is the current operator responsible for conducting the actions ordered herein, which are necessary to abate the endangerment to public health and the environment.

IV

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Determination and Findings of Pact, Respondent is ordered and directed, pursuant to \$106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. \$9606 et seq., to (I) prepare and submit to EPA for approval a written proposal ("Proposal") for the removal and disposal of all hazardous substances in the facility and (II) implement the Proposal after receiving EPA approval of same. The Proposal shall include the following:

- A plan to remove all hazardous substances from the facility.
- 2. A plan for the sampling and analysis of hazardous substances to satisfy any requirements for transporting or disposing of same from the facility. The plan shall include provisions for the legal transport and disposal of all hazardous substances in an authorized hazardous waste disposal facility in accordance with all Federal, State, and local regulations.
- 3. A site safety plan.
- 4. A plan for taking representative samples of hazardous substances at the facility to be provided to EPA. The location, number and types of samples and analyses to be taken will be specified by the EPA.
- 5. All samples shall be collected, preserved, packaged,

6

shipped, handled, and prepared for analysis according to the protocols specified by the EPA On-Scene Coordinator. All sample handling shall be performed according to the chain of custody procedures described in Appendix A of this Order. Any samples analyzed pursuant to this Order shall be analyzed according to EPA-approved analytical methods.

6. A schedule for the implementation of the Proposal. The schedule shall provide for the total clean-up of the facility within 14 days of EPA approval of the Proposal.

Respondent shall submit the Proposal and provide for receipt of same by EPA at the address listed below by 12 noon on Thursday, June 7, 1984. The proposal shall be submitted to the contact person named in the Order.

Respondent shall assume full responsibility for any claims arising from the activities conducted by Respondent or his representatives or consultants in connection with this Order.

Respondent shall provide access to the site for EPA employees, contractors, or consultants at all reasonable times and shall permit such persons to be present and move freely in the area where any work is being conducted pursuant to this Order.

EPA shall designate an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) who shall have the authority vested by 40 CFR \$300 et seq., published at 47 FR Part 31180 (July 16, 1982).

V

OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER--EFFECTIVE DATE

Under the provisions of CERCLA, Respondent may request a conference to be held at any time before submittal of the

Proposal to discuss the Order, its applicability, the correctness of any factual determinations upon which the Order is based, the appropriateness of any action which Respondent is ordered to take, and any other relevant or material issue. Such request may be made orally, but must be confirmed in writing. At any conference held pursuant to Respondent's request, Respondent may appear in person, with counsel or other representatives for the purpose of presenting any objections, defenses or contentions

This Order is effective immediately upon receipt of same by Respondent.

VI

which Respondent may have regarding this Order.

LIABILITY

If the OSC determines that Respondent is not complying with the terms of this Order, or that Respondent is not proceeding with work in a timely manner, or that Respondent's activities pose an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment, the OSC may halt Respondent's activities and initiate a federal cleanup of the facility. Respondent may then be ordered to reimburse EPA for the costs of such activity pursuant to \$107(c) of CERCLA.

You are advised that willful violation or failure or refusal to comply with this Order, or any portion herof, may subject you to civil penalty of not more than \$5,000.00 for each day in which violation occurs or such failure to comply continues, in accordance with \$106(b) of CERCLA. Pailure to comply with this Order, or any portion hereof, without sufficient cause, may also subject you to liability for punitive damages in the amount of

three times the total of all costs incurred by the government as a result of your failure to take proper action in accordance with \$107(c) of CERCLA.

or ogeners.

It is so ordered on this 4 day of Uvne 1984.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY: John Wise

JUDITH E. AYRES
REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR, REGION 9

Contact person:

Eric N. Koglin (T-4-2) Environmental Protection Agency 215 Fremon. Street San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 974-8919

After hours call the Duty Officer at: (415) 974-8131